

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					_
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/540,203	08/17/2005	Stefan Beichl	5038.1004	6597
	23280 7590 01/16/2008 Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 485 17th Avenue 14th Floor New York, NY 10018			EXAMINER  LEE, GILBERT Y	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ivew i pik, ivi	10010		3673	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
. 10/540,203	BEICHL, STEFAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gilbert Y. Lee	3673	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) X They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 20-23 and 25-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. Mathematical The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment requires further search and/or consideration because the applicant has added more claims without canceling a corresponding number o finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: New Figure 6 will not be entered because it is considered to be new matter. The drawing objection is withdrawn, after further consideration Fig. 1 shows a separation site with overlapping ends. With regards to the applicant's argument of the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection, the argument is not persuasive because the definitions of open type and closed type are not presented in the disclosure, nor does Appendix A show or describe what an open type or a closed type are. With regards to the applicant's argument of the 35 U.S.C., second paragraph rejection, the argument is not persuasive because to be axially symmetrical, one would be able to cut the vane and housing along the axis at any point around the circumference and have two symmetrical pieces; however, even Appendix B shows different radial lengths of the vane around its circumference and would not show two axially symmetrical pieces when cut. With regards to the applicant's argument of the Turnquist et al. reference, the argument is not persuasive because the Turnquist et al. reference is in segments, it is considered to have a separation site. The Turnquist et al. reference is also considered to be adjustable because of the fact that it is segmented. Furthermore, the applicant is arguing subject matter not claimed. Claiming a piston-ring seal does not inherently claim a seal that is made of springy material.

PATRICIA ENGLE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

1-14-08